

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/706,552	_	11/12/2003	Karl W. Terry	7124.023	6890	
30589	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
DUNLAP, PO BOX 16		IG & ROGERS P.	ZIMMER, MARC S			
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-			1712		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			/ \
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>-</del> <del>-</del> <del>-</del> - <del>-</del> <del>-</del> <del>-</del>
		10/706,552	TERRY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
**		Marc S. Zimmer	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	SS
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O	ctober 2004.		
/		action is non-final.		
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>			rits is
Disposit	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-35 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicity documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stag	je
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not recei	ved.	
Attachment				
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,552

Art Unit: 1712

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawaragi et al., U.S. Patent # 5,314,947 in view of Takeshita et al., U.S. Patent # 6,057,039 for the reasons outlined in the correspondence dated July 9, 2004.

Applicant argues the validity of the proposed combination references on the grounds that the comparative example in the Sawaragi document, which illustrates the deleterious effects of excluding the metal oxide component, teaches away from the addition of colloidal silica to their invention.

It is the Examiner's position that the Applicants have misconstrued the probative value of the comparative example. Whereas the Applicants purport that this experiment is used to illustrate the negative effects of the incorporating silica on the refractive index of the composition, the Examiner believes, instead, that it is offered only to demonstrate that the metal oxide is essential for obtaining a high refractive index although the comparative example is also useful because it indicates that the abrasion resistance of the composition is enhanced by the addition of silica while the metal oxide sometimes underperforms in this capacity. In fact, there is no evidence in the Sawaragi reference whatsoever to support the conclusion that silica has a negative impact on refractive index. The comparative example only corroborates the notion that a metal oxide is essential to obtain higher refractive indices.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-22 and 24-35 are now considered to be in condition for allowance.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,552

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 1, 2004

MARGARET G. MOORE PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 1712